

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington DOC 2024 www.uspto.kov

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/810,454	03/19/2001	Egi Hayashi	50088-056	7197
75	90 08/12/2002			
McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY			FXAMPNER	
600 13th Street, Washington, DC			JOHNSON, JO	ONATHAN J
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1725	5

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>
	Application No.	A. cant(s)	1 '
and a second	09/810,454	HAYASHI	
, Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jonathan Johnson	1725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and the priod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set and year of the provided by the Office later than three months after the integrated patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	DN. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in. a reply within the statutory minimum of thire eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commoder BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	28 May 2002 .		
·	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for al	llowance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice un Disposition of Claims		D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the app			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)☑ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		No. Eveninos	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a			
Applicant may not request that any objection 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in		isapproved by the Examiner.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	o Examinor.		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 H.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a drain for for	reight phonty under 50 0.0.0.	3 1 13(d) (d) or (i).	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa	priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National St	tage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	·		P. O. S.
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom			pplication).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don			
Attachment(s)	_		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No. 	3) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-	

Application/Control Number: 09/810,454

Arf Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's Amendments and accompanying remarks submitted as Paper No. 4 on 5-28-02 have been entered and carefully considered. The amendments are not found to patentably distinguish the claims over the prior art and Applicant's arguments are not found persuasive for reasons set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taizo (JP 11-097493) in view of Ulmer (6,138,894). Taizo teaches applying a vacuum to the end of a semiconductor element through an ultrasonic bonding head to fixedly attach the semiconductor element to the ultrasonic bonding head (Figure 1, item 14, 5, and 2); applying a pressure to gold bumps to connect the pad of a semiconductor element or a connecting pad of the wiring board for connecting the bumps under a state that the bumps are in contact while the ultrasonic bonding head is moved in a plurality of directions (Translation sections 14-17 and Figure 2, Items a and b). Ulmer teaches heating solder bumps to more than the fusing point of the solder (Column 4, Lines 30-40 and Figure 3, Item 50). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

Application/Control Number: 09/810,454

Art Unit: 1725

art at the time of the invention to modify the process of Taizo to utilize a heater to heat the solder bumps to more than the fusing point of the solder in order to ensure the die is bonded to the substrate (see Ulmer Column 4, liens 35-41).

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taizo (JP 11-097493) and Ulmer (6,138,894) as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Kuriyama (5,315,474). Kuriyama teaches an inactive atmosphere or a reducing atmosphere is formed during bonding (Column 5, Lines 15-45). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combined invention of Ulmer et al. and Tazio to utilize the particular gas in order to prevent oxidation of the bonding surfaces.

Response to Arguments

Applicant argues Ulmer does not teach the claim limitation of "heat[ing the solder bump] to a temperature more than the fusing point of the solder." The examiner disagrees. Although applicant is correct in pointing out Ulmer teaches "locally heating the substrate to a temperature minimally sufficient to melt the solder" (see Ulmer Column 2, Lines 43-45), the examiner would like to point out that Ulmer also teaches heating the solder to a temperature sufficient enough to "melt[] the solder." (see Ulmer Column 4, Lines 30-40).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/810,454 Page 4

Art Unit: 1725

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Johnson whose telephone number is 703-308-0667. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on 703-308-3318. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

JJ **///** August 7, 2002

PRIMAP EXAMINER